

MICHELE BECKWITH
Acting United States Attorney
JASON HITT
Assistant United States Attorney
501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, California 95814

Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSUE RODRIGUEZ,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:25-MJ-00052-SCR

FINDINGS AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE
5.1(d) AND EXCLUDING TIME

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation for Extension of Time for Preliminary Hearing Pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) and Exclusion of Time, filed by the parties in this matter on April 3, 2025. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates good cause for an extension of time for the preliminary hearing date pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Furthermore, for the reasons set forth in the parties' stipulation, the Court finds that the interests of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court further finds that the extension of time would not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.

///

///

///

///

///

1 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

2 1. The date of the preliminary hearing is extended to April 11, 2025, at 2:00 p.m.

3 2. The time between April 3, 2025, and April 11, 2025, shall be excluded from calculation
4 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

5 3. Defendant shall appear at that date and time before the Magistrate Judge on duty.
6

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.
8

9 Dated: April 2, 2025



10 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28